

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant : Ansmann et al.  
Appl. No. : 09/931,670  
Filed : 08/16/01  
Title : COSMETIC PREPARATIONS  
  
Grp./A.U. : 1616  
Examiner : D. Jones  
  
Docket No. : H 2674A PCT/US

#9  
G1098  
12-21-02

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION PER 37 C.F.R. §1.8**

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

December 16, 2002  
Date

Marlene Capreri  
Signature of certifier

Marlene Capreri  
Typed or printed name of certifier

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

This paper is in response to the Office Action dated November 14, 2002 in the instant application.

The Examiner had previously indicated that the claims are directed to the following groups of inventions: group (I) claims 11-14 and 18-22 drawn to a compositions and uses thereof comprising a dialkyl ether, a cationic polymer, and a fatty acid N-alkyl polyhydroxyalkyl emulsifier, classified in class 424, subclass 401; group (II) claims 11-13, 15 and 18-22, drawn to compositions and uses thereof comprising a dialkyl ether, a cationic polymer, and an alkyl ether sulfate emulsifier, classified in class 424, subclass 401;

Appl. No.: 09/931,670  
Grp. A.U.: 1616

and group (III) claims 11-13 and 16-22, drawn to compositions and uses thereof comprising a dialkyl ether, a cationic polymer and a betaine emulsifier, classified in class 424, subclass 401.

In response thereto, Applicant traversed the restriction requirement and requested reconsideration and withdrawal thereof. However, in order to comply with the requirement of Rule 142, Applicant provisionally elected the invention of Group II, claims 11-13, 15 and 18-22, with traverse, for further examination on the merits.

The Examiner had also made the claimed invention subject to an election of species requirement stating that a specific dialkyl ether must be elected, along with a specific cationic polymer, along with a specific emulsifier. In response thereto, Applicant traversed the election of species requirement and requested reconsideration and withdrawal thereof.

However, in order to comply with the requirement of Rule 142, Applicant had provisionally elected distearyl ether, cationic cellulose derivatives, and alkyl ether sulfates which read on claims 11, 12, 15 and 18-22, with traverse, for further examination on the merits. Apparently, Applicant's election of the above-identified species was insufficient, for examination purposes.

Applicant then proceeded to have a telephone interview with the Examiner's supervisor, a Mr. Jose Dees in order to discuss the merits of both the restriction and election of species requirement. Mr. Dees argued with Applicant that, at a minimum, the election of species requirement should never have been made. Applicant was then told that Mr. Dees would discuss the matter with the Examiner and then telephone Applicant regarding its disposition. No such phone call was ever received by Applicant. As a result, Applicant is now once again forced to make an election of species requirement, which was agreed to as being unnecessary by Mr. Dees, at the last minute, in order to avoid payment of additional monies associated with an extension-of-time fee(s).

Once again, in order to comply with the requirement of Rule 142, Applicant is provisionally electing distearyl ether, quaternized hydroxyethyl cellulose, and sodium


Appl. No.: 09/931,670  
Grp. A.U.: 1616

laureth sulfate which read on claims 11, 12, 15 and 18-22, with traverse, for further examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Cognis Corporation  
Patent Department  
2500 Renaissance Boulevard, Suite 200  
Gulph Mills, PA 19406

SJT/mc GIDATAITRZASKA\H2674Aam.doc

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven J. Trzaska  
(Reg. No. 36,296)  
Attorney For Applicant(s)  
(610) 278-4929

FAX RECEIVED

"OFFICIAL" DEC 17 2002

TELECOPY

GROUP 1600

COGNIS CORPORATION  
2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD., SUITE 200  
GULPH MILLS, PA 19406  
USA

DATE: December 16, 2002

TO:

Name: Examiner D. Jones, USPTO

Fax No.: 703-872-9306

Art Unit 1616

OFFICIAL

FROM:

Name: S. Trzaska, Gulph Mills, PA

Fax No.: 610-278-4971

NUMBER OF PAGES 4 INCLUDING THIS COVER PAGE.

We are transmitting from facsimile machine 610-278-4971.

If you do not receive all the pages indicated above, please call Mariene Capreri at (610) 278-4924 or 610-278-4920 between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

\*\*\*\*\*  
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Re: Serial No. 09/931,670 filed 08/16/01  
Attorney's Docket: H 2674A PCT/US

- Response to Restriction Requirement (3 pages)